WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT SHAMROCK ENVIRONMENTAL LANDFILL

		Yes	No	Notes
CR I	andfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR \$257.84	-		A LOUDI
1:	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or		<u> </u>	
	localized settlement observed on the	ļ.		
	sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing	:	X	
	CCR?			
2.	Were conditions observed within the cells	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		and the state of t
	containing CCR or within the general landfill		1	
	operations that represent a potential disruption		X	
	to ongoing CCR management operations?		/	
3.:	Were conditions observed within the cells or			
	within the general landfill operations that		V	
	represent a potential disruption of the safety of	:	/	
	the CCR management operations.			
R Ft	igitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4	1))		
4.	Was CCR received during the reporting		1	The same of the sa
	period? If answer is no, no additional	X		
	information required.	1		
5.,	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust	\mathbf{V}		
	suppresants) prior to delivery to landfill?	(
:6.	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR			
	conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to		V	
	landfill working face, or was the CCR not			
	susceptable to fugitive dust generation?			
7.	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on		-	
	landfill access roads?		\ \ \	
8.	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the			Anticon Military Control of the Cont
	landfill? If the answer is yes, describe		Y	
	corrective action measures below.		/ /	
9.	Are current CCR fugitive dust control			
	measures effective? If the answer is no,	χ	l l	
	describe recommended changes below.	•		
10.	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen			
	complaints received during the reporting		X	
	period? If the answer is yes, answer question	our seur soud		ar en
1.	Were the citizen complaints logged?		X	